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Introduction

Force main (FM) pipelines made of cast iron, ductile iron or steel are subject to internal and/or external corrosion as soon as the pipelines are constructed. Even though the pipe may be internally lined and protected externally by coating and/or wrapping, corrosion can occur if the lining, coating or wrapping is damaged by the construction process, or service conditions. One type of corrosion mechanism that is common in cast or ductile iron pipes used in FM lines is graphitic corrosion, which leaches the iron from the pipe wall and leaves behind the non-ferromagnetic graphite matrix. The graphite stays tightly adhered to the pipe wall (inside and/or outside surface) and can only be removed by sandblasting or a chipping hammer. Graphitic corrosion weakens the pipe by reducing its local and global mechanical strength.

One particular type of corrosion in Force Mains is hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S) attack. H$_2$S that exists in the form of gas pockets that can accumulate in high spots in the FM when the FM is not 100% full of liquid sewage. When the pockets of H$_2$S gas stay at these locations for prolonged period of time without being released into the air, pipe corrosion can start. Even though release valves are often in place to release H$_2$S, the practice of releasing H$_2$S is often not implemented due to the rotten egg smell that members of the public can complain about. The gas pockets may remain at some locations along the FM line for an extended period of time. H$_2$S attack starts with the gas attacking the internal lining (if there is any lining). Then the actual pipe material is attacked by the H$_2$S. H$_2$S attack is different from graphitic corrosion in ductile or cast iron pipes in that the corrosion product associated with graphitic corrosion may still stay in place in/on the pipe wall while the H$_2$S attack removes healthy pipe material.

Early detection of H$_2$S damage can help asset managers make informed decision on maintaining the FM lines. Several varieties of non-destructive testing (NDT) techniques are available for FM line inspection, including BBEM and MFL*. A new NDT technique, with improved coverage and sensitivity for FM line inspection is introduced here.
The Bracelet™ Probe technology

The new NDT technique is based on electromagnetic through transmission (TT) phenomenon [1] and the probe is called Bracelet™ probe (BP). A second-generation BP is shown in Figure 1 where it is being scanned on a 30" FM pipe. The probe is an external inspection tool and can inspect pipes with a diameter range of 6" to flat plate due to its flexibility. The pipe material that can be inspected by the BP includes cast/ductile iron and steel pipes. The probe can detect both internal and external wall loss (WL), including pits, local and general wall loss (GWL). Each scan covers a circumferential swath of 9-10in when the probe is scanned axially along a pipe. The scan speed is determined by pipe material type and wall thickness.

![Image of Bracelet™ Probe being scanned on a 30" force main near ARV](image)

**Figure 1.** The BP being scanned on a 30" force main near ARV

In order to facilitate BP inspection of buried pipelines, the segment of a pipeline is excavated to provide inspection access to the pipe. The pipe surface does not have to be smooth or super clean for the probe. Loose surface clay and mud should be removed; however, any coatings can be left in place without interfering with the inspection. Internal liner and transported product are usually non-ferromagnetic and do not affect the operation of the BP.

The full circumference of the pipe can be inspected by multiple axial scans around the pipe; however, for H2S attack it is often only necessary to expose the
top half of the pipe, where the expected corrosion would occur. Field technicians mark up the datum (zero-inch reference mark) and the axial scan paths on the pipe exterior surface. The BP scan starts from the datum and finishes at the target distance. The BP data can be analyzed onsite immediately and any indications of internal or external defects can be verified by visual confirmation for the external indications and by UT (Ultrasonic Testing) backup for internal indications.

One advantage of the BP technique is that the inspector can pinpoint problem areas quickly and efficiently in comparison with other available NDT scanning techniques. The other advantages include minimal surface preparation, no interruption to pipeline operation and cost effective inspection technique.

Application to FM line inspection

The BP has recently been used for condition assessment of ten excavations of a 30” force main. Inspection result for one segment is shown in Figure 2. The colour map on the left side of Figure 2 shows areas with significant internal wall loss. The inspection covered one quarter of the pipe circumference centered at the pipe crown area. The wall loss was primarily on the crown area and extended towards the spring line, which was consistent with the characteristics of H₂S attack. The other sign of internal wall loss is the existence of internal pitting indications within the GWL indications. The pipe exterior surface was in good condition without any significant corrosion.

Ductile iron pipes have intrinsic wall thickness (WT) variation along the pipe length due to manufacturing tolerance. The BP can detect the WT variation that is as small 5%. In a similar manner, any GWL caused by the H₂S damage can be detected if the GWL is 5% or more. However, it is sometimes challenging to distinguish between the WT variations from manufacturing tolerance and the GWL caused by the H₂S attack unless complementary information is available or H₂S attack is severe enough to go beyond manufacturing tolerance. The complementary information includes

- past inspections of the same pipe segment
- WT information on the crown area vs. spring line areas and invert.
- internal pitting indications within the GWL area
- internal Video inspection of the areas of concern
- AUT (Automatic UT) scanning of the areas of interest
Figure 2. Inspection results for a segment FM pipe

The wall loss in the segment of pipe shown in Figure-2 was confirmed by ultrasonic thickness gauge to be 50% remaining wall

Summary

As a new NDT technique based on the electromagnetic TT physical phenomenon, the BP had been demonstrated to be useful for inspection of FM lines subject to H₂S damage. Any corrosion mechanism that results in an equivalent wall loss above the threshold of detection can also be detected by the BP, such as graphitic corrosion.
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* BBEM = Broad Band Electromagnetic

* MFL= Magnetic Flux Leakage